mented the recommendations of the above said Beport after taking their own employees/Trade Unions into confidence and those which have, implemented the said recommendations unilaterally, with the reaction of the employees Trade Unions, in each such Undertaking, if any;

(c) the details issues/area of service conditions and benefits on which the Mishra Committee recommended to take the employees concerned/Trade Unions into confidence and then implemented in the above said undertakings?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE. MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI BHAGSY GOBARDHAN)- (c) The High Power Pay Committee had submitted its report after hearing the views of the Un>ons|em. jlozees' Associations of the Public Sector Enterprises wh: ch were following Central DA pattern. The repon has been accepted by the Government and orders for its implementation were issued on 1?,. 6. 1990 in line with the directions given by the Hon'ble Suoreme Court in their Judgement dated 3. 5. 1990. If any anomalies are noticed in fitment of the . exting p°ale in the general pattern o! scales now notified, these could be revie""M *a* Committee set up by the PiiKHc factor Enterprises concerned and the formulation processed after takire nnnroval of the administrative Ministries.

Bfirtrictiiras on activities of Fmnloyees of Public Sector Fnclertaktaps

3146 SHRT GURUDAS DAS GUP^A- Will the PRIME MINISTER be nleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that BPE had issued an Order in July, 1984 for putting restrictions on the activities of employees of Publ'c Sector Undertakings, if; o the detail thereof, along with the names and addresses of the Public Sector Undertakings as classified for thg purpose-

(b) whether it is also a fact that I similar instructions were issued by the BPE in February 1888 for putting restrictions on the activities of all other public sector employees, if so, the details thereof; and

| (c) whether in view of the changed political scenario whether Govern-
! ment would withdraw the said controversial instructions Since the employees of these public sector undertakings are not treated as Government employees and are covered bv different Industrial Laws/Acts, Certified Standing Orders and Discipline Rules of their own?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN ! THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI BHAGEY GOBARDHAN): (a) to *(c)* In July. 1984, the Bureau of Public Enterprises has advised certain Ministries that some kind of political activities of the employees of certain Public Sector Undertakings under their administrative control could be prohibited by amending the CDA Rules and Certified Standing Orders of these companies. In 1988. theso instruct-'ons were made applicable to officers of all public sector undertakings. There is no proposal at present, for withdrawing these instructions

Criteria for Apiwinthur Coaches in Kendriya Vidyalayi S^ngathan

3147. SHRI SARADA MOHANTY: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) what is the criteria prescribed for the appointment of the Coaches in Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan, for SGFI meets and for conducting the Summer Coaching in sports: and

(b) whether Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan is following th$_e$ prescribed criteria?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA): (a) and (b) The Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan deputes its Physical Education Teachers for coaching students during the Summer Coaching Camps and SGFI (School Games Federation of India) meets. There is no prescribed criteria. However, the Physical Education Teachers for this assignment are selected on the following criteria: —

(i) Should have obtained the qualification of coaching from the Netaji Subhash National Institute of Sports.

(ii) Should have adequate experience.

(iii) Should be available to take up the coaching assignment without being preoccupied in other assignments like training and courses.

Non-Payment of Teaching Allowance to Laboratory Assistants working nnder Directorate of Technical Education. Delhi Administration

3148. DR. BAPU KALDATE: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have sanc tioned a teaching allowance of Rs. 100 p. m. to the Laboratory Assistants-working uftder Delhi Administration w. e. f. the 1st January, 1986;

(b) whether it is a fact that the said a^owance has not been paid to the Laboratory Assistants working under Directorate of Technical Education, Delhi Administration;

(c) whether it is also a fact that Delhi Adm; nistration has given an undertaking in their counter affidavit in SLP (Civil) No. 4317/82 in the Supreme Court that the pay scales and other emoluments of Laboratory Assistants workirg under Directorate of Technical Education are at par with I that of other employees of the Delhi , Administration; and

(d) if the answer to parts (a) to (c) above be in the affirmative, the reasons for not sanctioning teaching allowance to the Laboratory Assistants working under Directorate of Technical Education, Delhi Administration and by when it is likely to be sanctioned?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN | THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) As the order granting the teach ing allowance to Laboratory Assistants i is applicable only to those working in the schools of Union Territories and autonomous bodies Tke K. V. S., and not to others. Thus no allowance is payable to Laboratory Assistants work- I ing in institutions under Directorate of Technical Education.

I

(c) According to information received from Delhi Administration, the Administration stated *inter alia* that the staff working in the College of Pharmacy are governed in all matters such as the mode of appointment, service conditions, etc. at par with that of other employees of the Delhi Administration.

(d) In views of (b) above, question does not arise.

Forecasting of Heavy Rains'Floods in Western R^jasthan

3149 DR. ABRAR AHMED KHAN: Will the PRIME MINISTER be Pleased to state;

(a) whether the Meteorological Department had forecast heavy rains and floods in Western Raja'sthan during the first wee-: of July

(b) if so, when such a forecast was made and the details thereof; and